



Appellant

Sh. Jasbir Singh s/o (9888296107)
Guru Nanak Nagar,
Village Jhabewal, PO Ramgarh,
Distt. Ludhiana 141123.

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/o SHO, Police Station Dehlon
Distt. Ludhiana

First Appellate Authority
O/o Commissioner of Police,
Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1527 of 2020
Through CISCO WEBEX

Present: (i) None on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Sukhdev Singh, ASI (9915703617).

ORDER:

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 26.05.2021 vide which respondent ASI, Gurmeet Singh stated that no case was filed relates with RTI application and reply has already been supplied to the appellant but he was absent and matter was adjourned for further hearing on 02.09.2021 i.e. today.
2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Sukhdev Singh is present but appellant is absent. Appellant was also absent on the previous hearing held on 26.05.2021, which means he has nothing to say in this regard.
3. After examining the case file, it is observed that on the previous hearing held on 26.05.2021 a letter dated 22.04.2021 was received from the appellant in the Commission vide diary no. 9403 dated 27.04.2021 mentioning therein that requisite information is still pending from the respondent PIO. I am of the considered view that sufficient reply has already been supplied by the respondent PIO, which is already on record, in the order passed by the then Ld. SIC, Dr. Pawan Kumar Singla dated 01.12.2020. Therefore, no further cause of action is required. Hence, this instant appeal case is **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 02.09.2021

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab



Sh. Sukhdev Singh (887277782)

Flat No. 404/13, Bollywood Heights,
Peermuchalla Zirakpur, Distt. Mohali-140603

Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o MC, Zirakpur, Distt. Mohali

Respondent

Complaint Case No.: 261 of 2020
Through CISCO WEBEX

Present : (i) Sh. Sukhdev Singh, Complainant
(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Nirdosh Kumar (BI) (9915955511) along with Advocate, Sh. Gulshan Kumar Mehta on behalf of the 3rd party (Fortune Multitech Pvt. Ltd.)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with the reference of previous order dated 26.05.2021 vide which respondent, Sh. Nirdosh Sharma assured that he will supply the requisite information to the complainant before the next date of hearing.

Complainant was advised to visit the respondent's office on 27.05.2021 at 11:00 A.M. for the inspection of the official record as per RTI application and respondent, Sh. Nirdosh Sharma was advised to make sure that complainant inspected the relevant record relates with RTI application. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 02.09.2021 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, complainant, Sh. Sukhdev Singh states that no information has been supplied to him by the respondent PIO on his visit. He also mentioned that some useless papers have been supplied by the concerned department two days ago whereas information is demanded in connection with Agreement, CLU and fee.
3. On this, respondent, Sh. Nirdosh Kumar states that information has already been supplied to the complainant on 20.08.2021 comprising 28 pages. He explained that CLU is given on page numbers 26 to 28 and regarding fee receipt information is available from page number 1 to 16. He further adds that he has sent a reply vide letter no. 129 dated 26.08.2021 regarding this case facts and show cause which was issued to him vide orders of the Commission dated 24.08.2020.

Complaint Case No.: 261 of 2020
Through CISCO WEBEX

4. Advocate, Sh. Gulshan Kumar Mehta, on behalf of 3rd party is present and states that agreement is third party information and could not be supplied to the complainant. He adds that reply in this regard is also sent to the Commission dated 31.08.2021 (which was received by the undersigned Bench on 01.09.2021), which is taken on record.
5. On this, complainant pointed out deficiency on the plea that he is not asking any personal information, as he is demanding only agreement which is required for passing the colony.
6. After hearing both the parties and examining the case file, I am of the considered view that sufficient information has already been supplied to the complainant.

As it is the complaint case. The attention of the Complainant is drawn to the decision of the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India rendered on 12.12.2011 in Civil Appeal Nos. Nos.10787 – 10788 of 2011 (arising out of SLP © No.32768-32769/2010)- Chief Information Commissioner and another Vs. State of Manipur and another, in Para 31 whereof, it has been held that while entertaining a complaint case under Section 18 of the RTI Act , 2005, the Commissioners have no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for an access to the information which is as under:-

(31. We uphold the said contention and do not find any error in the impugned judgment of the High Court whereby it has been held that the Commissioner while entertaining a complaint under Section 18 of the said Act has no jurisdiction to pass an order providing for access to the information).

7. Despite of being a complaint case, respondent PIO dealt this matter and supply the information to the best possible extent. Therefore, no further cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant compliant case filed by the complainant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Dated: 02.09.2021

(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864113, Helpline No. 0172-2864100

Email: - psic22@punjabmail.gov.in

Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sri Ram (7087530511)

R/o Village Garhi, Sheru, Rahon Road,
Office-Mattewara,, Via BastiJodhewl,
Tehsil & District Ludhiana 1471007

Appellant

Vs.

Public Information Officer,

O/o SHO, Police Station

Mehrban, Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Commissioner of Police,

Ludhiana

Respondent

Appeal Case No.1607 and 1608 of 2020

Through CISCO WEBEX

Present:

(i) Sh. Sri Ram, the appellant.

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Sanjeev (HC) (6239214695)

Order:

1. This order may be read with the reference with the previous order dated 26.05.2021 representative of the appellant, Sh. Lal Chand Virhe stated that no information has been supplied to the appellant.

Respondent PIO was not present for hearing but as per email dated 04.12.2020 was received from the respondent from email ID rtibranchldh@gmail.com consisting acknowledgement of receiving the information signed by the appellant mentioning therein that he has received requisite information comprising 68 pages in the above mentioned both the appeal cases and requested to close his both the cases.

One more opportunity was given to the respondent PIO to represent this case in person to clear the facts of the above mentioned appeal cases positively on the next date of hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 02.09.2021 i.e. today.

2. In today's hearing, respondent, Sh. Sanjeev states that appellant is with him and satisfied with the earlier supplied information. Appellant also intimates the Commission that information is received in both the above mentioned appeal cases and requests to close both the cases.
3. In wake of above, no further cause of action is required in these cases. Therefore, these instant appeal cases are **disposed of & closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Dated: 02.09.2021

**(Anumit Singh Sodhi)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab**